



PROPERTY LAW: 2005-2006

VOLUME TWO

**J. Phillips
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

**These materials are reproduced solely for the use of students in the Faculty of Law,
University of Toronto.**

Storage

**KE
618
.A7P45
2005
v.2
c.1**

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 17 2005

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME TWO

CHAPTER SIX: EASEMENTS

<u>(a) Introduction</u>	253
<u>(b) Characteristics of Easements</u>	255
<u>In Re Ellenborough Park</u>	255
Notes	264
Positive and Negative Easements	265
<u>Phipps v. Pears</u>	265
<u>(c) Creation by Express or Implied Grant</u>	
Express Grants and Reservations	268
Implied Grants and Reservations	269
Exceptions to the General Rules	271
<u>Wong v. Beaumont Property Trust</u>	274
<u>Sandom v. Webb</u>	277
<u>Barton v. Raine</u>	282
Problems	287
<u>(d) Creation by Presumed Grant</u>	289
User as of Right	291
<u>Garfinkel v. Kleinberg</u>	293
<u>(e) The Scope of Easements</u>	296

<u>(f) Termination of Easements</u>	297
---	-----

CHAPTER SEVEN: RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS

<u>(a) Introduction</u>	298
-------------------------------	-----

<u>(b) Covenants and the Common Law</u>	300
---	-----

<u>Austerberry v. Oldham</u>	300
------------------------------------	-----

Notes	303
-------------	-----

(c) Restrictive Covenants: Running the Burden in Equity

Introduction	304
--------------------	-----

<u>Tulk v. Moxhay</u>	305
-----------------------------	-----

Negativity and the Requirement of a Dominant Tenement	306
---	-----

<u>London County Council v. Allen</u>	307
---	-----

<u>Galbraith v. Madawaska</u>	311
-------------------------------------	-----

Notes	313
-------------	-----

(d) Restrictive Covenants: Running the Benefit in Equity

Introduction	314
--------------------	-----

<u>Re Sekretov and City of Toronto</u>	316
--	-----

<u>(e) Termination of Restrictive Covenants</u>	322
---	-----

<u>(f) The Burden of Positive Covenants</u>	324
---	-----

<u>(g) Notes</u>	326
------------------------	-----

CHAPTER EIGHT: PROPERTY, POLITICS, THE CONSTITUTION, AND THE STATE

<u>(a) Introduction</u>	330
 <u>(b) Freedom From: The Line Between Regulation and Taking</u>	
The United States Constitution	331
<u>Pennsylvania Coal v. Mahon</u>	332
<u>Keystone Bituminous Coal Association v. DeBenedictis</u>	336
British and Canadian Constitutions	348
<u>Manitoba Fisheries Ltd. v. The Queen</u>	348
<u>Queen in Right of British Columbia v. Tener et al</u>	356
Note	361
<u>Mariner Real Estate v. Nova Scotia (Attorney-General)</u>	362
<u>A and L Investments et al v. Ontario</u>	369
Notes	372
 <u>(c) Freedom To: Property and Welfare</u>	
<u>London Borough of Southwark v. Williams</u>	378
<u>Gosselin v. Quebec (Attorney-General)</u>	384

CHAPTER NINE: ABORIGINAL RIGHTS

<u>(a) Introduction</u>	393
<u>(b) Aboriginal Title at Common Law: The Pre-Section 35 Cases</u>	394
<u>Calder v. Attorney-General of British Columbia</u>	395
Note	402
<u>Guerin v. The Queen</u>	403
<u>(c) Aboriginal Rights and the Constitution: Section 35, Extinguishment and Regulation</u>	413
<u>R. v. Sparrow</u>	413
<u>R. v. Van Der Peet</u>	413
<u>R. v. Gladstone</u>	413
<u>(d) Aboriginal Title and the Constitution</u>	
<u>Delgamuukw v. British Columbia</u>	413
<u>(e) Aboriginal Title and Common Law Property Principles</u>	
<u>St. Mary's Indian Band v. Cranbrook</u>	414



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/propertylaw02phil_8

